



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/502,986      | 02/11/2000  | John F. Wood Jr.     | 800381              | 5954             |

23372 7590 02/24/2003

TAYLOR RUSSELL & RUSSELL, P.C.  
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS ROAD  
BUILDING ONE, SUITE 1200  
AUSTIN, TX 78759

EXAMINER

AKERS, GEOFFREY R

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3624

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

09/502 986

2/11/00

Wood

| EXAMINER |
|----------|
|----------|

Akers

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

364

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Roffey Akers, PTO (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Wayne Russell, atty (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 2/21/03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed: Reference cited in prior application. Seeking distinctive feature of disclosure for a future RCE application.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant stated invention as a expenditure tracking and planning tool rather than an online system. It is a personal program on a user's computer. Invention comprises multiple applications which might be delivered. Applicant will file an RCE on current application following Review of newly dropped claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

2/21/03